

Recall the setting:

- X complex torus, $V = T_0 X \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ hom. of Lie gps., $\Lambda = \ker \pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$
- $\text{Pic} X \Leftrightarrow \left\{ (H, \alpha) \mid \begin{array}{l} H \text{ Hermitian on } V \\ \text{Im } H(\Lambda \times \Lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha: \Lambda \rightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z|=1\}, \alpha(g+g') = \alpha(g) \cdot \alpha(g') \cdot e^{\pi i E(g, g')} \end{array} \right\}$

The line bundle $L(H, \alpha)$ associated to (H, α) is given by

$$L(H, \alpha) = \mathbb{C} \times V / (t, z) \sim (e^{g(t)} t, z + g) \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(X, L(H, \alpha)) \Leftrightarrow \Theta: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ st } \Theta(z+g) = e^{g(z)} \cdot \Theta(z) \quad (*)$$

- $L(H, \alpha)$ ample ($\Leftrightarrow$ by definition, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ st L^n induces an embedding $X \xrightarrow{\phi_{L(H, \alpha)^n}} \mathbb{P}^n$)
 $\Rightarrow H$ is positive def.

Today:

(1) Theorem 1: H positive definite $\Rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(X, L(H, \alpha)) = \sqrt{\det E}$

Corollary: H positive definite $\Rightarrow \phi_{L(H, \alpha)}$ exists as a rational map.

(2) Theorem 2: (Lefschetz) $L(H, \alpha)$ ample $\Leftrightarrow H$ pos. definite.

Proof of Thm 1:

Fourier transform: $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ hmo. $f(z+1) = f(z) \Rightarrow c_n = \int f(z) \cdot \bar{e}^{2\pi i z n}$ and $f(z) = \sum c_n e^{2\pi i z n}$
 We will use the multivariable version of this in this case $f(z+1) = f(z)$ becomes, $f(z+g) = f(z)$ for $g \in \Lambda' \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \subseteq \Lambda$ and $n \rightsquigarrow x \in \hat{\Lambda} = \text{Hom}(\Lambda', \mathbb{Z})$.

Last time. We found $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$ st

- (a) $E(\Lambda' \times \Lambda') = 0$ (b) $\Lambda' \cong_{\text{grp}} \mathbb{Z}^n$ (c) $W := \Lambda' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow W \oplus iW = V$ i.e. $\Lambda' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = V$.
- (d) $H|_{\Lambda' \times \Lambda'}$ is real symmetric let $B: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the unique complex sym. extension.
- (e) $H - B|_{W \times W} = 0$ using linearity in 1st variable $\Rightarrow H - B(z, w) = 0$ ($z \in V, w \in W$).
- (f) $\alpha: \Lambda' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a Lie group hmo. $\Rightarrow \alpha(g) = e^{2\pi i \lambda(g)}$ for $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-vs}}(V, \mathbb{C})$.
 $\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-vs}}(W, \mathbb{R})$

The goal is to turn Θ into Λ' periodic using B and α .

Define $\Theta^*(z) = \Theta(z) \cdot e^{-i/2 \pi B(z, z)}$. $\Theta(z+g) = \alpha(g) \cdot e^{\pi i H(z, g) + \frac{1}{2} \pi i H(g, g)} \Theta(z)$.

$$e^{-i/2 \pi B(z+g, z+g)} = e^{-i/2 \pi B(z, z) + \pi i B(z, g) - \frac{1}{2} \pi i B(g, g)}$$

$\Rightarrow \Theta^*(z+g) = \alpha(g) \cdot e^{\pi i (H - B)(z, g) + \frac{1}{2} \pi i (H - B)(g, g)} \cdot \Theta^*(z)$ if $g \in \Lambda'$ then the exponential term is = 1
 and $\alpha(g) = e^{2\pi i \lambda(g)} \Rightarrow e^{-2\pi i \lambda(z)} \cdot \Theta^*(z)$ is Λ' periodic.

$$\Rightarrow e^{-2\pi i \lambda(z)} \Theta^*(z) = \sum_{x \in \hat{\Lambda}} c_x e^{2\pi i x(z)} \Rightarrow \Theta^*(z) = \sum_{x \in \hat{\Lambda}} c_x e^{2\pi i (x(z) + \lambda(z))} \quad (**)$$

(*) gives for c_x : let $g \in \hat{\Lambda} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda', \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ $\hat{g}(g') = E(g', g)$.

$$c_x = \alpha(g) \cdot e^{i\pi \hat{g}(g) - 2\pi i (x(g) + \lambda(g))} \cdot c_{x-\hat{g}} \quad \text{where } g \in \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}.$$

This equation characterizes Θ as soon as (**) converges.

• Intx of E in the form $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & A \\ -A^T & 0 \end{array} \right)$ then the map $\Lambda \rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}$
 $g \mapsto \hat{g} = \text{pr}_{\Lambda''}(g) \cdot A \cdot (-)$

is actually A .

$|\det E| = |\det A|^2 \Rightarrow \sqrt{|\det E|} = |\det A| = \underbrace{|\det A|}_{\mu}$, it rests to find a correspondence,
 $\{\text{cosets of } M\}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin.}} \Leftrightarrow \{\text{basis of } \Theta \text{ fine.}\}$

(\Delta) $\Rightarrow c_x$ on any representative of any coset of M determines the value of c_x on the whole coset.

Proof of Theorem 2:

Thm 1 $\Rightarrow \exists \theta \neq 0 \in H^0(L(H, \alpha))$, consider $\theta(z-a)\theta(z-b)\theta(z+a+b) = \theta^{a,b}(z)$ for $a, b \in V$.

Claim: $\theta^{a,b}$ is a θ -function.

Proof: $\theta^{a,b}(z+g) = \underbrace{\alpha(g)^3}_{\alpha_H^3 = \alpha_{3H}} \cdot e^{\frac{\pi H(3z,g)}{3H(z,g)} + \frac{3/2 H(g,g)}{1/2 3H(g,g)}} \cdot \theta^{a,b}(z) \quad \square$

Claim: $\forall z \exists a, b$ st $\theta^{a,b}(z) \neq 0$.

$\Rightarrow L(H, \alpha)^3$ defines a morphism $X \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathbb{P}^n$ as there are non zero sections at each point
Replace $L(H, \alpha)$ with $L(H, \alpha)^3$.

$\rightarrow$ (up to replacing L by L^3)

Need: ξ injective: Suppose $\xi(\pi(z_1)) = \xi(\pi(z_2))$ st $\pi(z_1) \neq \pi(z_2) \Rightarrow \exists \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ st $\theta(z_1) = \gamma \cdot \theta(z_2)$ & $\sigma := z_2 - z_1 \in \Lambda$.

Point of the proof: Every θ fun for Λ is also a θ -function for $\Lambda \oplus \sigma\mathbb{Z}$.

$\Rightarrow \det(\Lambda \oplus \sigma\mathbb{Z}) < \det(\Lambda) \Rightarrow$ contradiction to thm 1.

$$\theta(z_2-a) \cdot \theta(z_2-b) \cdot \theta(z_2+a+b) = \gamma \theta(z_1-a) \cdot \theta(z_1-b) \cdot \theta(z_1+a+b).$$

Take the log. derivative wrt a . Let $w = \log(\theta(-))'$.

$\Rightarrow -w(z_2-a) + w(z_2+a+b) = -w(z_1-a) + w(z_1+a+b) \Rightarrow w(z_2+z) - w(z_2-z)$ is translation invariant $\Rightarrow$ it is constant

$\Rightarrow$ after integrating, $\theta(z+z_2) = e^{f(z_2)} \theta(z+z_1)$ with f linear $\Rightarrow \theta(z+\sigma) = \underbrace{A}_{\in \mathbb{C}^\times} \cdot e^{f(z)} \theta(z)$